

2. Why does genealogical proof require us to resolve conflicts with a conclusion?
3. Following the model, place in the table below the elements of the following conflict-resolution discussions from the articles in appendixes A and B and circle the likely-correct answer that the conflict resolution supports:
- The article in appendix B, paragraph at the top of its page 106 (2 conflicts)
 - The article in appendix A, the discussion under “Supporting Conclusion 4,” on its pages 35–36
 - The article in appendix A, the discussion under “Supporting Conclusion 6,” on its page 37
 - The article in appendix A, the discussion on its page 37, the third bullet
 - The article in appendix B, the figure and discussion at the top of its page 112
 - The article in appendix B, its page 108, the point concerning Charles’s mother’s name; including the supporting timeline on the article’s pages 109–14 and some of the bullet points on its pages 114–16

ONE SIDE OF CONFLICT	OPPOSING SIDE OF CONFLICT	KINDS OF EVIDENCE IN CONFLICT	WAY(S) CONFLICT IS RESOLVED
a1. Charles was born in January 1854 [for the resolution, see page 119]	Charles was born in 1848–49	Direct versus direct	No corroboration for 1848–49; explanation
a2. Emma’s husband was not previously married	Emma married Ida’s ex-husband	Direct versus indirect	No corroboration; quality of evidence; explanation
b.			
c.			
d.			
e.			